arXiv:1503.07703v3 [math.PR] 17 Sep 2015

A probabilistic approach to large time behaviour of viscosity solutions of parabolic equations with Neumann boundary conditions

Ying Hu[*] Pierre-Yves Madec[†]

September 15, 2015

Abstract: This paper is devoted to the study of the large time behaviour of viscosity solutions of parabolic equations with Neumann boundary conditions. This work is the sequel of [13] in which a probabilistic method was developed to show that the solution of a parabolic semilinear PDE behaves like a linear term λT shifted with a function v, where (v, λ) is the solution of the ergodic PDE associated to the parabolic PDE. We adapt this method in finite dimension by a penalization method in order to be able to apply an important basic coupling estimate result and with the help of a regularization procedure in order to avoid the lack of regularity of the coefficients in finite dimension. The advantage of our method is that it gives an explicit rate of convergence.

Keywords: Backward stochastic differential equations; Ergodic backward stochastic differential equations; HJB equations; Large time bahaviour; Viscosity solutions.

AMS classification: 35B40, 35K10, 60H30, 93E20.

1 Introduction

We are concerned with the large time behaviour of solutions of the Cauchy problem with Neumann boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} = \mathscr{L}u(t,x) + f(x, \nabla u(t,x)\sigma), & \forall (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times G, \\ \frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial n} + g(x) = 0, & \forall (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial G, \\ u(0,x) = h(x), & \forall x \in \overline{G}, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where, at least formally, $\forall \psi : \overline{G} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$(\mathscr{L}\psi)(x) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma^t\sigma\nabla^2\psi(x)) + \langle b(x), \nabla\psi(x)\rangle,$$

and $G = \{\phi > 0\}$ is a bounded convex open set of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with regular boundary. $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \overline{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the unknown function. We will assume that b is Lipschitz and σ is invertible. h is continuous

---

[*] IRMAR, Université Rennes 1, Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France (ying.hu@univ-rennes1.fr), partially supported by Lebesgue center of mathematics ("Investissements d'avenir" program - ANR-11-LABX-0020-01)

[†] IRMAR, Université Rennes 1, Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France, partially supported by Lebesgue center of mathematics ("Investissements d'avenir" program - ANR-11-LABX-0020-01)

and $g \in \mathscr{C}^1_{\text{lip}}(\overline{G})$. Furthermore we will assume that the non-linear term $f(x,z) : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{1\times d} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous in the first variable for all z and there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}$, $|f(x,z_1) - f(x,z_2)| \leq C|z_1 - z_2|$. Finally in order to obtain uniqueness for viscosity solutions of (1.1), we assume that ∂G is $W^{3,\infty}$ and that there exists $m \in \mathscr{C}((0,+\infty),\mathbb{R})$, $m(0^+) = 0$ such that $\forall x, y \in \overline{G}, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}$,

$$|f(x,z) - f(y,z)| \leq m\left((1+|z|)|x-y|\right).$$

A lot of papers deal with the large time behaviour of parabolic PDEs (see for e.g. [21], [11], [15], [10], [14] or [5]), but there are not a lot of them which deal with Neumann boundary conditions. In [3], Benachour and Dabuleanu study the large time behaviour of the Cauchy problem with zero Neumann boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} = \Delta u(t,x) + a|\nabla u(t,x)|^p, & \forall (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times G, \\ \frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial n} = 0, & \forall (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial G, \\ u(0,x) = h(x), & \forall x \in \overline{G}, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \neq 0$, $p > 0$ and G is a bounded open set with smooth boundary of $\mathscr{C}^3$ class. The large time behaviour depends on the exponent p. If $p \in (0,1)$, and if h is a periodic function, then the solution is constant from a finite time. That is, there exist $T^* > 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u(t,x) = c$, for all $t > T^*$. When $p \geq 1$, any solution of (1.2) converges uniformly to a constant, as $t \to +\infty$.

In [16], Ishii establishes a result about the large time behaviour of a parabolic PDE in a bounded set with an Hamiltonian of first order $H(x,p)$, convex and coercive in p and with Neumann boundary coniditons.

In [2], Barles and Da Lio give a result for the large time behaviour of (1.1). Moreover, the result about the large time behaviour has been improved by Da Lio in [6] under the same hypotheses. In this last paper, the author studies the large time behaviour of non linear parabolic equation with Neumann boundary conditions on a smooth bounded domain $\overline{G}$:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} + F(x, \nabla u(t,x), \nabla^2 u(t,x)) = \lambda, & \forall (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times G, \\ L(x, \nabla u(t,x)) = \mu, & \forall (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \overline{G}, \\ u(0,x) = h(x), & \forall x \in G. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

The spirit of this paper is slightly different from our work. Indeed, the result says that $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (1.3) has a continuous viscosity solution. Moreover there exists a unique $\widetilde{\lambda}$ such that $\mu(\widetilde{\lambda}) = \widetilde{\lambda}$ for which the solution of (1.3) remains uniformly bounded in time $\widetilde{u}$. Then, there exists $\widetilde{u}_\infty$ solution of the ergodic PDE associated to (1.3) such that

$$\widetilde{u}(t,x) \underset{t\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \widetilde{u}_\infty(x), \quad \text{uniformly in } \overline{G}.$$

We mention that no convergence rates are given in the above papers [3, 2, 6].

Let us now state our main idea and result. Our method is purely probabilistic, which can be described as follows. First, let us consider $(X^x_t, K^x_t)_{t\geq 0}$ the solution of the following reflected SDE with values in $\overline{G} \times \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\begin{cases} X^x_t = x + \int_0^t b(X^x_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \nabla\phi(X^x_s)\mathrm{d}K^x_s + \int_0^t \sigma \mathrm{d}W_s, & t \geq 0, \\ K^x_t = \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{X^x_s \in \partial G\}}\mathrm{d}K^x_s, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where W is an $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued standard Brownian motion. Let (v, λ) be the solution of the following ergodic PDE,

$$\begin{cases} \mathscr{L}v(x) + f(x, \nabla v(x)\sigma) - \lambda = 0, & \forall x \in G, \\ \frac{\partial v(t,x)}{\partial n} + g(x) = 0, & \forall x \in \partial G. \end{cases}$$

Let $(Y^{T,x}, Z^{T,x})$ be the solution of the BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}Y_s^{T,x} = -f(X_s^x, Z_s^{T,x})\mathrm{d}s - g(X_s^x)\mathrm{d}K_s^x + Z_s^{T,x}\mathrm{d}W_s, \\ Y_T^{T,x} = h(X_T^x), \end{cases}$$

and (Y^x, Z^x, λ) be solution of the EBSDE:

$$\mathrm{d}Y_s^x = -(f(X_s^x, Z_s^x) - \lambda)\mathrm{d}s - g(X_s^x)\mathrm{d}K_s^x + Z_s^x\mathrm{d}W_s.$$

Then we have the following probabilistic representation:

$$\begin{cases} Y_s^{T,x} = u(T-s, X_s^x), \\ Y_s^x = v(X_s^x). \end{cases}$$

Then, in order to apply the method exposed in [13], we penalize and regularize the reflected process in order to apply the basic coupling estimates. Then, the use of a stability argument for BSDE helps us to conclude. Finally, we deduce that there exists a constant $L \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$Y_0^{T,x} - \lambda T - Y_0^x \underset{T \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} L,$$

i.e.

$$u(T,x) - \lambda T - v(x) \underset{T \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} L.$$

Our method also gives a rate of convergence:

$$|u(T,x) - \lambda T - v(x)| \leq Ce^{-\hat{\eta}T}.$$

The main contributions of this paper are: (1) uniqueness of solution to the EBSDE by regularization of coefficients and by applying coupling estimate (see Theorem 3.16 in Section 3); (2) a probabilistic method to prove the uniqueness of solution to ergodic PDE (see Lemma 3.18 in Section 3); (3) an exponential rate of convergence for the large time behaviour of viscosity solutions of parabolic equations with Neumann boundary conditions, which seems to be new comparing with convergence results in [3, 2, 6].

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we introduce some notations. In section 3, we recall some existence and uniqueness results about a perturbed SDE, a reflected SDE, a BSDE and an EBSDE that will be useful for what follow in the paper. We recall how such BSDE and EBSDE are linked with PDE. In section 4, we study the large time behaviour of the solution of the BSDE taken at initial time when the horizon T of the BSDE increases. Then, we obtain a more precise result with an explicit rate of convergence in the Markovian case. In section 5, we apply our results to an optimal ergodic control problem.

2 Notations

We introduce some notations. Let E be an Euclidian space. We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ its scalar product and by $|\cdot|$ the associated norm. We denote by $B(x, M)$ the ball of center $x \in E$ and radius $M > 0$. Given $\phi \in B_b(E)$, the space of bounded and measurable functions $\phi : E \to \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $||\phi||_0 = \sup_{x \in E} |\phi(x)|$. If a function f is continuous and defined on a compact and convex subset $\overline{G}$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$, we define $f_{\mathbb{R}^d} := f(\Pi(x))$ where Π is the projection on $\overline{G}$. Note that $f_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ is continuous and bounded. $\mathscr{C}^k_{\text{lip}}(\overline{G})$ denotes the set of the functions of class $\mathscr{C}^k$ whose partial derivatives of order k are Lipschitz functions.

Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with a filtration $\mathscr{F}_t$, we consider the following classes of stochastic processes.

1. $L^p_{\mathscr{P}}(\Omega, \mathscr{C}([0,T]; E))$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, $T > 0$, is the space of predictable processes Y with continuous paths on $[0,T]$ such that

$$|Y|_{L^p_{\mathscr{P}}(\Omega, \mathscr{C}([0,T];E))} = \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |Y_t|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

2. $L^p_{\mathscr{P}}(\Omega, L^2([0,T]; E))$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, $T > 0$, is the space of predictable processes Y on $[0,T]$ such that

$$|Y|_{L^p_{\mathscr{P}}(\Omega, L^2([0,T],E))} = \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |Y_t|^2 \mathrm{d}t \right)^{p/2} \right\}^{1/p} < \infty.$$

3. $L^2_{\mathscr{P},\text{loc}}(\Omega, L^2([0,\infty); E))$ is the space of predictable processes Y on $[0, \infty)$ which belong to the space $L^2_{\mathscr{P}}(\Omega, L^2([0,T]; E))$ for every $T > 0$. We define in the same way $L^p_{\mathscr{P},\text{loc}}(\Omega, \mathscr{C}([0,\infty); E))$.

In the sequel, we consider a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and a standard Brownian motion denoted by $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^d$. $(\mathscr{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ will denote the natural filtration of W augmented with the family of $\mathbb{P}$-null sets of $\mathscr{F}$.

In this paper, C denotes a generic constant for which we specify the dependency on some parameters when it is necessary to do so. In this paper, we will consider only continuous viscosity solutions.

3 Preliminaries

3.1 The perturbed forward SDE

Let us consider the following stochastic differential equation with values in $\mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t = d(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + b(t, X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma \mathrm{d}W_t, & t \geq 0, \\ X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

We will assume the following about the coefficients of the SDE:

Hypothesis 3.1.
1. $d : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is locally Lipschitz, strict dissipative (i.e. there exists $\eta > 0$ such that for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\langle d(x) - d(y), x - y \rangle \leq -\eta |x - y|^2$) and with polynomial growth (i.e. there exists $\mu > 0$ such that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $|d(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^\mu)$).
2. $b : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is bounded and measurable.

3. $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ is invertible.

Definition 3.1. *We say that the SDE* (3.1) *admits a weak solution if there exists a new* $\mathscr{F}$*-Brownian motion* $(\widehat{W}^x)_{t\geq 0}$ *with respect to a new probability measure* $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}$ *(absolutely continuous with respect to* $\mathbb{P}$*), and an* $\mathscr{F}$*-adapted process* $(\widehat{X}^x)_{t\geq 0}$ *with continuous trajectories for which* (3.1) *holds with* $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ *replaced by* $(\widehat{W}^x_t)_{t\geq 0}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that Hypothesis 3.1 holds true and that* $b(t,\cdot)$ *is Lipschitz uniformly w.r.t.* $t \geq 0$*. Then for every* $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$*, equation (3.1) admits a unique strong solution, that is, an adapted* $\mathbb{R}^d$*-valued process denoted by* X^x *with continuous paths satisfying* $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s.,*

$$X^x_t = x + \int_0^t d(X^x_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t b(s, X^x_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \sigma \mathrm{d}W_s, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, we have the following estimate: $\forall s \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|X^x_s|^p] \leq C(1 + |x|^p). \tag{3.2}$$

If b *is only bounded and measurable then there exists a weak solution* $(\widehat{X}, \widehat{W})$ *and uniqueness in law holds. Furthermore,* (3.2) *still holds (with respect to the new probability measure).*

Proof. For the first part of the lemma see [12], Theorem 3.3 in Chapter 1 or [19], Theorem 3.5. Estimates (3.2) is a simple consequence of Itô's formula. Weak existence and uniqueness in law are a direct consequence of a Girsanov's transformation. ☐

We define the Kolmogorov semigroup associated to Eq. (3.1) as follows: $\forall \phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ measurable with polynomial growth,

$$\mathscr{P}_t[\phi](x) = \mathbb{E}\phi(X^x_t).$$

Lemma 3.3 (Basic coupling estimate)**.** *Assume that Hypothesis 3.1 holds true and that* $b(t,\cdot)$ *is Lipschitz uniformly w.r.t.* $t \geq 0$*. Then there exists* $\hat{c} > 0$ *and* $\hat{\eta} > 0$ *such that for all* $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ *measurable and bounded,*

$$|\mathscr{P}_t[\phi](x) - \mathscr{P}_t[\phi](y)| \leq \hat{c}(1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2)e^{-\hat{\eta}t} \sup_x |\phi(x)|. \tag{3.3}$$

We stress the fact that $\hat{c}$ *and* $\hat{\eta}$ *depend on* b *only through* $\sup_{t\geq 0} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} |b(t,x)|$.

Proof. See [18]. ☐

Corollary 3.4. *Relation (3.3) can be extended to the case in which* b *is only bounded and measurable and for all* $t \geq 0$*, there exists a uniformly bounded sequence of Lipschitz functions in* x*,* $(b_n(t,\cdot))_{n\geq 1}$ *(i.e.* $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$*,* $b_n(t,\cdot)$ *is Lipschitz uniformly w.r.t.* $t \geq 0$ *and* $\sup_n \sup_t \sup_x |b_n(t,x)| < +\infty$*) such that*

$$\lim_n b_n(t,x) = b(t,x), \qquad \forall t \geq 0, \forall x \in R^d.$$

Clearly in this case in the definition of $\mathscr{P}_t[\phi]$ *the mean value is taken with respect to the new probability measure* $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}$.

Proof. It is enough to adapt the proof of Corollary 2.5 in [7]. The goal is to show that, if $\mathscr{P}^n$ denotes the Kolmogorov semigroup corresponding to equation (3.1) but with b replaced by b_n, then $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\forall t \geq 0$,

$$\mathscr{P}^n_t[\phi](x) \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \mathscr{P}_t[\phi](x).$$

□

Remark 3.5. Similarly, if there exists a uniformly bounded sequence of Lipschitz functions $(b_{m,n}(t,\cdot))_{m\in\mathbb{N},n\in\mathbb{N}}$ (i.e. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, $b_{m,n}(t,\cdot)$ is Lipschitz uniformly w.r.t. $t \geq 0$ and $\sup_m \sup_n \sup_t \sup_x |b_{m,n}(t,x)| < +\infty$) such that

$$\lim_m \lim_n b_{m,n}(t,x) = b(t,x), \qquad \forall t \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

then, if $\mathscr{P}^{m,n}$ is the Kolmogorov semigroup corresponding to equation (3.1) but with b replaced by $b_{m,n}$, we have $\forall t \geq 0$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\lim_m \lim_n \mathscr{P}^{m,n}_t[\phi](x) = \mathscr{P}[\phi](x),$$

which shows that relation (3.3) still holds.

We will need to apply the lemma above to some functions with particular form.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{1\times d} \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous in the first variable and Lipschitz in the second one and ζ, ζ' be two continuous functions: $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}$ be such that for all $s \geq 0$, $\zeta(s,\cdot)$ and $\zeta'(s,\cdot)$ are continuous. We define, for every $s \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\Upsilon(s,x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(x,\zeta(s,x))-f(x,\zeta'(s,x))}{|\zeta(s,x)-\zeta'(s,x)|^2} {}^t(\zeta(s,x)-\zeta'(s,x)), & \text{if } \zeta(s,x) \neq \zeta'(s,x), \\ 0, & \text{if } \zeta(s,x) = \zeta'(s,x). \end{cases}$$

Then, there exists a uniformly bounded sequence of Lipschitz functions $(\Upsilon_{m,n}(s,\cdot))_{m\in\mathbb{N},n\in\mathbb{N}}$ (i.e., for every $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\Upsilon_{m,n}(s,\cdot)$ is Lipschitz and $\sup_m \sup_n \sup_s \sup_x |\Upsilon_{m,n}(s,x)| < +\infty$) such that for every $s \geq 0$ and for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \lim_{m\to+\infty} \lim_{n\to+\infty} \Upsilon_{m,n}(s,x) = \Upsilon(s,x).$$

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 3.5 in [7]. □

3.2 The reflected SDE

We consider a process X^x_t reflected in $\overline{G} = \{\phi > 0\}$. Let $(X^x_t, K^x_t)_{t\geq 0}$ denote the unknown of the following SDE:

$$\begin{cases} X^x_t = x + \int_0^t b(X^x_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \nabla\phi(X^x_s)\mathrm{d}K^x_s + \int_0^t \sigma \mathrm{d}W_t, & t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ K^x_t = \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{X^x_s \in \partial G\}}\mathrm{d}K^x_s. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Hypothesis 3.2.

1. $b : \overline{G} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is Lipschitz.
2. $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ is invertible.

We will make the following assumptions about G.

Hypothesis 3.3.

1. G is a bounded convex open set of $\mathbb{R}^d$.
2. $\phi \in \mathscr{C}^2_{\mathrm{lip}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $G = \{\phi > 0\}$, $\partial G = \{\phi = 0\}$ and $\forall x \in \partial G$, $|\nabla\phi(x)| = 1$.

Remark 3.7. Let us denote by $\Pi(x)$ the projection of $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ on $\overline{G}$. Let us extend the definition of b to $\mathbb{R}^d$ by setting, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\widetilde{b}(x) := -x + (b(\Pi(x)) + \Pi(x)).$$

Note that $d(x) := -x$ is strictly dissipative and that $p(x) := b(\Pi(x)) + \Pi(x)$ is Lipschitz and bounded. Therefore, $\widetilde{b}$ is weakly dissipative (a function is called weakly dissipative if it is a sum of a strictly dissipative function and a bounded one), and satisfies Hypothesis 3.1.

Let us denote by $(X^{x,n}_t)$ the solution of the following penalized SDE associated with (3.4):

$$X^{x,n}_t = x + \int_0^t \left[\widetilde{b}(X^{x,n}_s) + F_n(X^{x,n}_s)\right] \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \sigma \mathrm{d}W_s,$$

where $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $F_n(x) = -2n(x - \Pi(x))$.

Lemma 3.8. *Assume that the Hypotheses 3.2 and 3.3 hold true. Then for every* $x \in \overline{G}$ *there exists a unique pair of processes* $(X^x_t, K^x_t)_{t\geq 0}$ *with values in* $(\overline{G} \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ *and which belongs to the space* $L^p_{\mathscr{P},loc}(\Omega, \mathscr{C}([0,+\infty[;\mathbb{R}^d)) \times L^p_{\mathscr{P},loc}(\Omega, \mathscr{C}([0,+\infty[;\mathbb{R}_+))$, $\forall p \in [1,+\infty[$, *satisfying* (3.4) *and such that*

$$\eta^x_t := \int_0^t \nabla\phi(X^x_s)\mathrm{d}K^x_s, \qquad \textit{has bounded variation on } [0,T],\ \forall 0 \leq T < +\infty, \quad \eta^x_0 = 0,$$

and for all process z *continuous and progressively measurable taking values in the closure* $\overline{G}$ *we have*

$$\int_0^T (X^x_s - z_s)\mathrm{d}K^x_s \leq 0, \qquad \forall T \geq 0.$$

Finally, the following estimates holds for the convergence of the penalized process: for any $1 < q < p/2$, *for any* $T \geq 0$ *there exists* $C \geq 0$ *such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} |X^{x,n}_t - X^x_t|^p \leq \frac{C}{n^q}.$$

Proof. See Lemma 4.2 in [18]. □

3.3 The BSDE

Let us fix $T > 0$ and let us consider the following BSDE in finite horizon for an unknown process $(Y^{T,t,x}_s, Z^{T,t,x}_s)_{s\in[t,T]}$ with values in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}$:

$$Y^{T,t,x}_s = \xi^T + \int_s^T f(X^{t,x}_r, Z^{T,t,x}_r)\mathrm{d}r + \int_s^T g(X^{t,x}_r)\mathrm{d}K^{t,x}_r - \int_s^T Z^{T,t,x}_r \mathrm{d}W_r, \qquad \forall s \in [t,T], \tag{3.5}$$

where $(X^{t,x}_s, K^{t,x}_s)_{s\in[t,T]}$ is the solution of the SDE (3.4) starting from x at time t. If $t = 0$, we use the following standard notations $X^x_s = X^{0,x}_s$,$K^x_s = K^{0,x}_s$, $Y^{T,x}_s := Y^{T,0,x}_s$ and $Z^{T,x}_s = Z^{T,0,x}_s$. We will assume the following assumptions.

Hypothesis 3.4 (Path dependent case)**.** There exists $C > 0$, such that the function $f : \overline{G} \times \mathbb{R}^{1\times d} \to \mathbb{R}$ and ξ^T satisfy:

1. ξ^T is a real-valued random variable $\mathscr{F}_T$ measurable and $|\xi^T| \leq C$.
2. $\forall x \in \overline{G}$, $\forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}$, $|f(x, z_1) - f(x, z_2)| \leq C|z_1 - z_2|$.
3. $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}$, $f(\cdot, z)$ is continuous.
4. $g \in \mathscr{C}^1_{\text{lip}}(\overline{G})$.

Lemma 3.9. *Assume that the Hypotheses 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 hold true, then there exists a unique solution* $(Y_s^{T,t,x}, Z_s^{T,t,x}) \in L^2_{\mathscr{P}}(\Omega, \mathscr{C}([0,T]; \mathbb{R})) \times L^2_{\mathscr{P}}(\Omega, L^2([0,T]; \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}))$.

Proof. See Theorem 1.7 in [22]. ☐

Hypothesis 3.5 (Markovian case)**.** There exists $C > 0$ such that

1. $\xi^T = h(X_T^x)$, where $h : \overline{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.
2. $\forall x \in \overline{G}$, $\forall z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}$, $|f(x, z) - f(x, z')| \leq C|z - z'|$.
3. $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}$, $f(\cdot, z)$ is continuous.
4. $g \in \mathscr{C}^1_{\text{lip}}(\overline{G})$.

Let us consider the following semilinear PDE:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} + \mathscr{L}u(t,x) + f(x, \nabla u(t,x)\sigma) = 0, & \forall (t,x) \in [0,T] \times G, \\ \frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial n} + g(x) = 0, & \forall (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \partial G, \\ u(T,x) = h(x), & \forall x \in G, \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

where $\mathscr{L}u(t,x) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma^t\sigma\nabla^2 u(t,x)) + \langle b(x), \nabla u(t,x)\rangle$.

Lemma 3.10 (Existence)**.** *Assume that the Hypotheses 3.2, 3.3 and 3.5 hold true, then there exists a continuous viscosity solution to the PDE (3.6) given by*

$$u_T(t,x) = Y_t^{T,t,x}.$$

Proof. In our framework, $u_T(t,x) \in \mathscr{C}([0,T] \times \overline{G}; \mathbb{R})$. Indeed, first as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [23], we deduce the existence of a function $v^1 : \overline{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ which belongs to the space $\mathscr{C}^2_{\text{lip}}(\overline{G})$ and which is solution of Helmholtz's equation for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v^1(x) - \alpha v^1(x) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial v^1(x)}{\partial n} + g(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We set $Y_s^{1,t,x} = v^1(X_s^{t,x})$ and $Z_s^{1,t,x} = \nabla v^1(X_s^{t,x})\sigma$. These processes verify, $\forall s \in [t,T]$,

$$Y_s^{1,t,x} = v^1(X_T^{t,x}) + \int_s^T [-\mathscr{L}v^1(X_r^{t,x})]\mathrm{d}r + \int_s^T g(X_r^{t,x})\mathrm{d}K_r^{t,x} - \int_s^T Z_r^{1,t,x}\mathrm{d}W_r,$$

where

$$\mathscr{L}v^1(x) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma^t\sigma\nabla^2 v^1(x)) + \langle b(x), \nabla v^1(x)\rangle.$$

Then, if we define

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{Y}_s^{T,t,x} &= Y_s^{T,t,x} - v^1(X_s^{t,x}),\\ \widetilde{Z}_s^{T,t,x} &= Z_s^{T,t,x} - \nabla v^1(X_s^{t,x})\sigma,\end{aligned}$$

$(\widetilde{Y}^{T,t,x}, \widetilde{Z}^{T,t,x})$ satisfies the BSDE, $\forall s \in [t,T]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{Y}_s^{T,t,x} = (h-v^1)(X_T^{t,x}) &+ \int_s^T \left[f(X_r^{t,x}, \widetilde{Z}_r^{T,t,x} + \nabla v^1(X_r^{t,x})\sigma) + \mathscr{L}v^1(X_r^{t,x}) \right] \mathrm{d}r\\ &- \int_s^T \widetilde{Z}_r^{T,t,x} \mathrm{d}W_r,\end{aligned}$$

which shows, since $v^1 \in \mathscr{C}^2_{\text{lip}}(\overline{G})$, that $\left((t,x) \mapsto \widetilde{Y}_t^{T,t,x}\right)$ is continuous. To show that $u_T(t,x)$ is a viscosity solution of (3.6) see [22], Theorem 4.3. □

Uniqueness for solutions of (3.6) holds under additional assumptions in our framework.

Hypothesis 3.6. 1. ∂G is of class $W^{3,\infty}$.

2. $\exists m \in \mathscr{C}((0,+\infty),\mathbb{R})$, $m(0^+) = 0$ such that $\forall x, y \in \overline{G}, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}$,

$$|f(x,z) - f(y,z)| \leq m\left((1+|z|)|x-y|\right).$$

Lemma 3.11 (Uniqueness)**.** *Assume that the Hypotheses 3.2, 3.3, 3.5 and 3.6 hold true. Then, uniqueness holds for viscosity solutions of* (3.6)*.*

Proof. See Theorem II.1 in [1]. □

Remark 3.12. By the following change of time: $\widetilde{u}_T(t,x) := u_T(T-t,x)$, we remark that $\widetilde{u}_T(t,x)$ is the unique viscosity solution of (1.1). Now remark that $\widetilde{u}_T(T,x) = u_T(0,x) = Y_0^{T,0,x} = Y_0^{T,x}$, therefore the large time behaviour of $Y_0^{T,x}$ is the same as that of the solution of equation (1.1).

3.4 The EBSDE

In this section, we consider the following ergodic BSDE for an unknown process $(Y_t^x, Z_t^x, \lambda)_{t\geq 0}$ with values in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1\times d} \times \mathbb{R}$:

$$Y_t^x = Y_T^x + \int_t^T (f(X_s^x, Z_s^x) - \lambda)\mathrm{d}s + \int_t^T g(X_s^x)\mathrm{d}K_s^x - \int_t^T Z_s^x \mathrm{d}W_s, \qquad \forall 0 \leq t \leq T < +\infty. \tag{3.7}$$

Hypothesis 3.7. There exists $C > 0$ such that,

1. $\forall x \in \overline{G}$, $\forall z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}$, $|f(x,z) - f(x,z')| \leq C|z-z'|$.
2. $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}$, $f(\cdot, z)$ is continuous.
3. $g \in \mathscr{C}^1_{\text{lip}}(\overline{G})$.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $0 \in \overline{G}$.

Lemma 3.13 (Existence when Neumann boundary conditions are null)**.** *Assume that* $g \equiv 0$ *and that the Hypotheses 3.2, 3.3 and 3.7 hold true. Then there exists a solution* $(Y^x, Z^x, \lambda) \in L^2_{\mathscr{P},loc}(\Omega, \mathscr{C}([0,+\infty[;\mathbb{R})) \times L^2_{\mathscr{P},loc}(\Omega, L^2([0,+\infty[;\mathbb{R}^{1\times d})) \times \mathbb{R}$ *to (3.7). Moreover there exist* $v : \overline{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\xi : \overline{G} \to \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}$ *measurable such that for every* $x, y \in \overline{G}$*, for all* $t \geq 0$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y_t^x = v(X_t^x), Z_t^x = \xi(X_t^x),\\
&v(0) = 0,\\
&|v(x) - v(y)| \leq C,\\
&|v(x) - v(y)| \leq C|x-y|.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. First let us recall that by Remark 3.7, one can replace b by its extension $\widetilde{b}$ which is weakly dissipative. Therefore, replacing f by $f_{\mathbb{R}^d}$, we obtain, by Theorem 4.4 in [18] that there exists $v : \overline{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi : \overline{G} \to \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}$ measurable such that for every $x, y \in \overline{G}$, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y_t^x = v(X_t^x), Z_t^x = \xi(X_t^x),\\
&v(0) = 0,\\
&|v(x) - v(y)| \leq C(1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2),\\
&|v(x) - v(y)| \leq C(1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2)|x-y|.
\end{aligned}$$

And the result follows by the boundedness of $\overline{G}$. □

Lemma 3.14 (Existence)**.** *Assume that the Hypotheses 3.2, 3.3 and 3.7 hold true. Then there exists a solution* $(Y^x, Z^x, \lambda) \in L^2_{\mathscr{P},loc}(\Omega, \mathscr{C}([0,+\infty[;\mathbb{R})) \times L^2_{\mathscr{P},loc}(\Omega, L^2([0,+\infty[;\mathbb{R}^{1\times d})) \times \mathbb{R}$ *to the EBSDE (3.7). Moreover there exists* $v : \overline{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ *such that for every* $x, y \in \overline{G}$*, for all* $t \geq 0$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y_t^x = v(X_t^x),\\
&|v(x)| \leq C,\\
&|v(x) - v(y)| \leq C|x-y|.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. First as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [23], we deduce the existence of a function $v^1 : \overline{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ which belongs to the space $\mathscr{C}^2_{\text{lip}}(\overline{G})$ and is solution of Helmholtz's equation for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v^1(x) - \alpha v^1(x) = 0,\\ \frac{\partial v^1(x)}{\partial n} + g(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, if we define $(Y_t^1 := v^1(X_t^x), Z_t^1 := \nabla v^1(X_t^x)\sigma)$, (Y^1, Z^1) satisfies, for every $0 \leq t \leq T < +\infty$:

$$Y_t^1 = Y_T^1 + \int_t^T \left[-\mathscr{L} v^1(X_s^x)\right] \mathrm{d}s + \int_t^T g(X_s^x)\mathrm{d}K_s^x - \int_t^T Z_s^1 \mathrm{d}W_s, \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$(\mathscr{L} v^1)(x) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma^t \sigma \nabla^2 v^1) + \langle \widetilde{b}(x), \nabla v^1 \rangle.$$

Now consider the following EBSDE:

$$Y_t^2 = Y_T^2 + \int_t^T [f^2(X_s^x, Z_s^2) - \lambda]\mathrm{d}s - \int_t^T Z_s^2 \mathrm{d}W_s, \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq T < +\infty, \tag{3.9}$$

with $f^2(x,z) := \mathscr{L}v^1(x) + f(x, z + \nabla v^1(x)\sigma)$. Since $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}$, $f^2(\cdot, z)$ is continuous and since for every $x \in \overline{G}$, $f^2(x,\cdot)$ is Lipschitz, one can apply Lemma 3.13 to obtain the existence of a solution $(Y_t^2 = v^2(X_t^x), Z_t^2 = \xi^2(X_t^x))$ to EBSDE (3.9) such that v^2 is continuous. We set

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^x &= Y_t^1 + Y_t^2 = v^1(X_t^x) + v^2(X_t^x), \\ Z_t^x &= Z_t^1 + Z_t^2 = \nabla v^1(X_t^x)\sigma + \xi^2(X_t^x). \end{aligned}$$

Then (Y^x, Z^x, λ) is a solution of the EBSDE (3.7). ☐

Theorem 3.15 (Uniqueness of λ)**.** *Assume that the Hypotheses 3.2, 3.3 and 3.7 hold true. If* (Y^1, Z^1, λ^1) *and* (Y^2, Z^2, λ^2) *denote two solutions of the EBSDE* (3.7) *in the class of solutions* (Y, Z, λ) *such that* $\forall t \geq 0, |Y_t| \leq C$, $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s. and* $Z \in L^2_{\mathscr{P},loc}(\Omega, L^2([0,\infty[; \mathbb{R}^{1\times d})$, *then*

$$\lambda^1 = \lambda^2.$$

Proof. See Theorem 4.6 in [8]. ☐

Let us now state our main result of this section.

Theorem 3.16 (Uniqueness of solutions (Y, Z, λ))**.** *Assume that the Hypotheses 3.2, 3.3 and 3.7 hold true. Uniqueness holds for solutions* (Y, Z, λ) *of the EBSDE* (3.7) *in the class of solutions such that there exists* $v : \overline{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ *continuous,* $Y_s = v(X_s^x)$ *with* $v(0) = 0$, *and* $Z \in L^2_{\mathscr{P},loc}(\Omega, L^2([0,\infty[; \mathbb{R}^{1\times d})$.

Proof. Let $(Y^1 = v^1(X^x), Z^1, \lambda^1)$ and $(Y^2 = v^2(X^x), Z^2, \lambda^2)$ denote two solutions. Then from Theorem 3.15, we deduce that $\lambda^1 = \lambda^2 =: \lambda$.

Now, let us denote by $v : \overline{G} \to \mathbb{R}$, $v \in \mathscr{C}^2_{\text{lip}}(\overline{G})$ and solution of Helmholtz's equation for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v(x) - \alpha v(x) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial n} + g(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, if we define $(Y_t := v(X_t^x), Z_t := \nabla v(X_t^x)\sigma)$, (Y, Z) satisfies, for every $0 \leq t \leq T < +\infty$:

$$Y_t = Y_T + \int_t^T [-\mathscr{L}v(X_s^x)]\, \mathrm{d}s + \int_t^T g(X_s^x)\mathrm{d}K_s^x - \int_t^T Z_s \mathrm{d}W_s, \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$(\mathscr{L}v)(x) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma {}^t\sigma \nabla^2 v) + \langle \widetilde{b}(x), \nabla v\rangle.$$

Therefore, $(\widehat{Y}_t^1 = Y_t^1 - v(X_t^x), \widehat{Z}_t^1 = Z_t^1 - {}^t\nabla v(X_t^x)\sigma)$ satisfies the BSDE, $\forall 0 \leq t \leq T < +\infty$,

$$\widehat{Y}_t^1 = \widehat{Y}_T^1 + \int_t^T \widehat{f}(X_s^x, \widehat{Z}_s^x)\mathrm{d}s - \int_t^T \widehat{Z}_s^1 \mathrm{d}W_s,$$

where $\forall x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}$,

$$\widehat{f}(x,z) = f\left(x, z + {}^t\nabla v^1(x)\sigma\right) - \lambda + \mathscr{L}v(x).$$

Then, let $(\widehat{Y}^{1,T,t,x}, \widehat{Z}^{1,T,t,x})$ be the solution of the following BSDE, $\forall s \in [t, T]$,

$$\widehat{Y}_s^{1,T,t,x} = (v^1 - v)(X_T^{t,x}) + \int_t^T \widehat{f}(X_s^x, \widehat{Z}_s^{1,T,t,x})\mathrm{d}s - \int_t^T \widehat{Z}_s^{1,T,t,x}\mathrm{d}W_s.$$

By uniqueness of solutions to BSDE, we deduce that

$$v^1(x) - v(x) = \widehat{Y}_0^{1,T,0,x}.$$

Now, we fix infinitely differentiable functions $\rho_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^+$ bounded together with their derivatives of all order, such that: $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\varepsilon(x)\mathrm{d}x = 1$ and

$$\mathrm{supp}(\rho_\varepsilon) \subset \left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\xi| \leq \varepsilon\right\},$$

where supp denotes the support. Then we define $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(F_n)_\varepsilon(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\varepsilon(y) F_n(x-y)\mathrm{d}y,\\
\widetilde{b}_\varepsilon(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\varepsilon(y)\widetilde{b}(x-y)\mathrm{d}y.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by $X^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}$ the solution of the following SDE, $\forall s \geq t$,

$$X_s^{t,x,n,\varepsilon} = x + \int_t^s \left(\widetilde{b}_\varepsilon + (F_n)_\varepsilon\right)(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}r + \int_t^s \sigma \mathrm{d}W_r,$$

and let $(Y^{1,T,t,x,n,\varepsilon}, Z^{1,T,t,x,n,\varepsilon})$ be the solution of the following BSDE, $\forall s \in [t,T]$,

$$Y_s^{1,T,t,x,n,\varepsilon} = (v^1 - v)(X_s^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}) + \int_t^s \widehat{f}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{1,T,t,x,n,\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}r - \int_s^T Z_r^{1,T,t,x,n,\varepsilon}\mathrm{d}W_s.$$

Then by a stability result, (see for e.g. Lemma 2.3 of [4]), we deduce that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon\to+\infty} Y_0^{1,T,0,x,n,\varepsilon} = \widehat{Y}_0^{1,T,0,x} = v^1(x) - v(x). \tag{3.11}$$

Similarly, defining $(Y^{2,T,t,x}, Z^{2,T,t,x})$ and $(Y^{2,T,t,x,n,\varepsilon}, Z^{2,T,t,x,n,\varepsilon})$ in the same way, we deduce that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon\to+\infty} Y_0^{2,T,0,x,n,\varepsilon} = \widehat{Y}_0^{2,T,0,x} = v^2(x) - v(x).$$

Furthermore, by Theorem 4.2 (or Theorem 4.2 in [9]), if we define $u^{1,T,n,\varepsilon}(t,x) := Y_t^{1,T,t,x,n,\varepsilon}$, then $(x \mapsto u^{1,T,n,\varepsilon}(t,x))$ is continuously differentiable for all $t \in [0,T[$, and $\forall s \in [t,T[$,

$$Z_s^{1,T,t,x,n,\varepsilon} = {}^t\nabla u^{1,T,n,\varepsilon}(s, X_s^{t,x,n,\varepsilon})\sigma.$$

Similarly, we define $u^{2,T,n,\varepsilon}(t,x) := Y_t^{2,T,t,x,n,\varepsilon}$ and then

$$Z_s^{2,T,t,x,n,\varepsilon} = {}^t\nabla u^{2,T,n,\varepsilon}(s, X_s^{t,x,n,\varepsilon})\sigma.$$

Therefore, taking $t = 0$, $\forall T > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
u^{1,T,n,\varepsilon}(0,x) - u^{2,T,n,\varepsilon}(0,x) &= (v^1 - v^2)(X_T^{x,n,\varepsilon}) - \int_0^T (Z_s^{1,T,x,n,\varepsilon} - Z_s^{2,T,x,n,\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}W_s\\
&\quad + \int_0^T \left[\widehat{f}(X_s^{x,n,\varepsilon}, Z_s^{1,T,x,n,\varepsilon}) - \widehat{f}(X_s^{x,n,\varepsilon}, Z_s^{2,T,x,n,\varepsilon})\right]\mathrm{d}s\\
&= (v^1 - v^2)(X_T^{x,n,\varepsilon})\\
&\quad - \int_0^T (Z_s^{1,T,x,n,\varepsilon} - Z_s^{2,T,x,n,\varepsilon})(-\beta(s, X_s^{x,n,\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}s + \mathrm{d}W_s),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\beta^T(s,x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(f(x,{}^t\nabla u^{1,T,n,\varepsilon}(s,x)\sigma)-f(x,{}^t\nabla u^{2,T,n,\varepsilon}(s,x)\sigma)({}^t\nabla u^{1,T,n,\varepsilon}(s,x)\sigma-{}^t\nabla u^{2,T,n,\varepsilon}(s,x)\sigma)}{|{}^t\nabla u^{1,T,n,\varepsilon}(s,x)\sigma-{}^t\nabla u^{2,T,n,\varepsilon}(s,x)\sigma|^2}\mathbb{1}_{s<T}, \\ \qquad \text{if } \nabla u^{1,T,n,\varepsilon}(s,x) \neq \nabla u^{2,T,n,\varepsilon}(s,x), \\ 0, \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The process $(\beta^T(s, X_s^{x,n,\varepsilon}))_{s\in[0,T]}$ is progressively measurable and bounded, therefore, we can apply Girsanov's Theorem to obtain that there exists a new probability measure $\mathbb{Q}^T$ equivalent to $\mathbb{P}$ under which $(W_t - \int_0^t \beta(s, X_s^{x,n,\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}s)_{t\in[0,T]}$ is a Brownian motion. Therefore, denoting by $E^{\mathbb{Q}^T}$ the expectation with respect to the probability $\mathbb{Q}^T$,

$$\begin{aligned} u^{1,T,n,\varepsilon}(0,x) - u^{2,T,n,\varepsilon}(0,x) &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^T}\left[(v^1 - v^2)(X_T^{x,n,\varepsilon})\right] \\ &= \mathscr{P}_T[v^1 - v^2](x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathscr{P}_t$ is the Kolmogorov semigroup associated to the following SDE, $\forall t \geq 0$,

$$U_t^x = x + \int_0^t \left(\widetilde{b}_\varepsilon + (F_n)_\varepsilon\right)(U_s^x)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \sigma\beta(s, U_s^x)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^s \sigma \mathrm{d}W_s.$$

By Corollary 3.4 and Remark 3.6, we deduce that

$$|u^{1,T,n,\varepsilon}(0,x) - u^{2,T,n,\varepsilon}(0,x) - (u^{1,T,n,\varepsilon}(0,0) - (u^{2,T,n,\varepsilon}(0,0))| \leq Ce^{-\hat{\eta}T}.$$

Therefore, thanks to (3.11),

$$|v^1(x) - v^2(x) - (v^1(0) - v^2(0))| \leq Ce^{-\hat{\eta}T}.$$

Therefore, since $v^1(0) = v^2(0) = 0$, letting $T \to +\infty$ we deduce that

$$v^1(x) = v^2(x), \forall x \in \overline{G}.$$

□

We recall the link of such EBSDE with ergodic PDE. Let us consider the following ergodic semilinear PDE for which the unknown is a pair (v, λ):

$$\begin{cases} \mathscr{L}v(x) + f(x, \nabla v(x)\sigma) - \lambda = 0, & \forall x \in G, \\ \frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial n} + g(x) = 0, & \forall x \in \partial G. \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

Lemma 3.17 (Existence of ergodic viscosity solutions)**.** *Assume that the Hypotheses 3.2, 3.3 and 3.7 hold true then the solution* (v, λ) *of Lemma 3.14 is a viscosity solution of* (3.12).

Proof. Note that v is continuous by Lemma 3.14. The proof of this result is very classical and can be easily adapted from [22]. □

Lemma 3.18 (Uniqueness of ergodic viscosity solutions)**.** *Assume that the Hypotheses 3.2, 3.3, 3.6 and 3.7 hold true. Then uniqueness holds for viscosity solutions* (v, λ) *of* (3.12) *in the class of (continuous) viscosity solutions such that* $\exists a \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $v^1(a) = v^2(a)$.

Proof. Let (v^1, λ^1) and (v^2, λ^2) be two continuous viscosity solutions of (3.12). First we show that $\lambda^1 = \lambda^2$. Let us fix $0 \leq t < T < +\infty$, and let us consider $(Y^{1,T,t,x}, Z^{1,T,t,x})$ the solution of the following BSDE in finite horizon, $\forall s \in [t, T]$,

$$Y_s^{1,T,t,x} = v^1(X_T^{t,x}) + \int_s^T [f(X_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{1,T,t,x}) - \lambda^1]\mathrm{d}r + \int_s^T g(X_r^{t,x})\mathrm{d}K_r^{t,x} - \int_s^T Z_r^{1,T,t,x}\mathrm{d}W_r.$$

And we define $(Y^{2,T,t,x}, Z^{2,T,t,x})$ similarly, replacing λ^1 by λ^2 and v^1 by v^2. By Lemma 3.10, we deduce that $u^{1,T}(t,x) = Y_t^{1,T,t,x}$ is a viscosity solution of (3.6). Since v^1 is also a viscosity solution of (3.6) with $h = v^1$, it follows from Lemma 3.11 that $\forall t \in [0,T], \forall x \in \overline{G}$,

$$u^{1,T}(t,x) = v^1(x).$$

Of course, similarly, $\forall t \in [0,T]$, $\forall x \in \overline{G}$,

$$u^{2,T}(t,x) = v^2(x).$$

Then, taking $t = 0$, $\forall T > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} u^{1,T}(0,x) - u^{2,T}(0,x) &= v^1(X_T^x) - v^2(X_T^x) + \int_0^T [f(X_s^x, Z_s^{1,T,x}) - f(X_s^x, Z_s^{2,T,x})]\mathrm{d}s \\ &\quad + (\lambda^2 - \lambda^1)T - \int_0^T \left(Z_s^{1,T,x} - Z_s^{2,T,x}\right) \mathrm{d}W_s \\ &= v^1(X_T^x) - v^2(X_T^x) - \int_0^T (Z_s^{1,T,x} - Z_s^{2,T,x})(-\beta_s \mathrm{d}s + \mathrm{d}W_s), \end{aligned}$$

where, $\forall s \in [0,T]$,

$$\beta_s = \begin{cases} \frac{(f(X_s^x, Z_s^{1,T,x}) - f(X_s^x, Z_s^{2,T,x}))^t (Z_s^{1,T,x} - Z_s^{2,T,x})}{|Z_s^{1,T,x} - Z_s^{2,T,x}|^2}, & \text{if } Z_s^{1,T,x} \neq Z_s^{2,T,x}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $(\beta_s)_{s \in [0,T]}$ is a progressively measurable and bounded process, by Girsanov's theorem, there exists a new probability $\mathbb{Q}^T$ equivalent to $\mathbb{P}$ under which $(W_t - \int_0^t \beta_s \mathrm{d}s)_{t \in [0,T]}$ is a Brownian motion. Taking the expectation with respect to this new probability, we get

$$\frac{u^{1,T}(0,x) - u^{2,T}(0,x)}{T} = \frac{\mathbb{E}^{Q^T}(v^1(X_T^x) - v^2(X_T^x))}{T} + \lambda^2 - \lambda^1.$$

Since v^1 and v^2 are continuous and therefore bounded on $\overline{G}$, letting $T \to +\infty$ we deduce that

$$\lambda^1 = \lambda^2.$$

Applying the same argument as that in Theorem 3.16, we deduce the uniqueness. $\square$

4 Large time behaviour

4.1 First behaviour

We recall that $(Y_s^{T,x}, Z_s^{T,x})_{s \geq 0}$ denotes the solution of the finite horizon BSDE (3.5) with $t = 0$ and that $(Y_s^x, Z_s^x, \lambda)_{s \geq 0}$ denotes the solution of the EBSDE (3.7).

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that the Hypotheses 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 hold true (path dependent case), then, $\forall x \in \overline{G}$, $\forall T > 0$:*

$$\left| \frac{Y_0^{T,x}}{T} - \lambda \right| \leq \frac{C}{T}.$$

In particular,

$$\frac{Y_0^{T,x}}{T} \underset{T\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \lambda,$$

uniformly in $\overline{G}$.
Assume that the Hypotheses 3.2, 3.3, 3.5 and 3.6 hold true (Markovian case). Then, $\forall x \in \overline{G}$, $\forall T > 0$*:*

$$\left|\frac{Y_0^{T,x}}{T} - \lambda\right| \leq \frac{C}{T}.$$

i.e.

$$\left|\frac{u(T,x)}{T} - \lambda\right| \leq \frac{C}{T},$$

where u *is the viscosity solution of* (1.1)*. In particular,*

$$\frac{u(T,x)}{T} = \frac{Y_0^{T,x}}{T} \underset{T\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \lambda,$$

uniformly in $\overline{G}$.

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [13]. Note that the proof is even simpler since we work with a bounded subset G of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and then for any probability $\mathbb{Q}^T$, $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^T}[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|X_t|^\mu] \leq C$, where C depends only on G and μ. Note that the proof gives an important result

$$|u_T(0,x) - \lambda T - v(x)| \leq C, \tag{4.1}$$

which will be useful for what follow. Finally note that for the Markovian case, Hypothesis 3.6 is added in order to obtain uniqueness of viscosity solutions of (1.1). ☐

4.2 Second and third behaviour

In this section we introduce a new set of hypothesis without loss of generality. Note that it is the same as Hypothesis 3.5 but with modified assumptions for b. However we write it again for reader's convenience. The remark immediately following this new set of hypothesis justifies the fact that there is no loss of generality. Let us denote by $(Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x}, \lambda)_{s\geq 0}$ the solution of the EBSDE (3.7) when X^x is replaced by $X^{t,x}$. We recall that this solution satisfies

$$Z_s^{t,x} = \nabla v^1(X_s^{t,x})\sigma + Z_s^2. \tag{4.2}$$

Hypothesis 4.1. There exists $C > 0$ such that

1. $b : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is $\mathscr{C}^1$ Lipschitz and dissipative (i.e. $\exists \eta > 0$ such that $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\langle b(x) - b(y), x - y\rangle \leq -\eta|x-y|^2$).
2. $\xi^T = h(X_T^x)$, where $h : \overline{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.
3. $\forall x \in \overline{G}$, $\forall z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times k}$, $|f(x,z) - f(x,z')| \leq C|z - z'|$.

4. $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times k}$, $f(\cdot, z)$ is continuous.
5. $g \in \mathscr{C}^1_{\text{lip}}(\overline{G})$.

Remark 4.2. Note that assuming b to be $\mathscr{C}^1$ Lipschitz and dissipative is not restrictive. Indeed, let us consider $b : \overline{G} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ only Lipschitz. Let us recall that the purpose of this paper is to study the large time behaviour of the viscosity solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} = \mathscr{L}u(t,x) + f(x, \nabla u(t,x)G), & \forall (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \overline{G}, \\ \frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial n} + g(x) = 0, & \forall (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial G, \\ u(0,x) = h(x), & \forall x \in G. \end{cases}$$

Now, we define, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\widetilde{b}(x) := -x + (b(\Pi(x)) + \Pi(x))$. Note that $\widetilde{b}$ is equal to b on $\overline{G}$. Furthermore,

$$\langle \widetilde{b}(x), \nabla u(t,x)\rangle + f(x, \nabla u(t,x)\sigma) = \langle -x, \nabla u(t,x)\rangle + \widetilde{f}(x, \nabla u(t,x)\sigma),$$

where $\widetilde{f}(x,z) = f(x,z) + \langle b(\Pi(x)) + \Pi(x), z\sigma^{-1}\rangle$ is a continuous function in x and Lipschitz in z. Therefore, under our assumptions, we can always consider the case b being $\mathscr{C}^1$ Lipschitz and dissipative by replacing b by $(x \mapsto -x)$ and f by $\widetilde{f}$ if necessary.

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that the Hypotheses 3.2, 3.3, 3.6 and 4.1 hold true. Then there exists $L \in \mathbb{R}$ such that,*

$$\forall x \in \overline{G},\ Y_0^{T,x} - \lambda T - Y_0^x \underset{T\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} L,$$

i.e.

$$\forall x \in \overline{G},\ u(T,x) - \lambda T - v(x) \underset{T\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} L,$$

where u is the viscosity solution of (1.1) *and v is the viscosity solution of* (3.12). *Furthermore the following rate of convergence holds*

$$|Y_0^{T,x} - \lambda T - Y_0^x - L| \le Ce^{-\hat{\eta}T},$$

i.e.

$$|u_T(0,x) - \lambda T - v(x) - L| \le Ce^{-\hat{\eta}T}.$$

Proof. Let us start by defining

$$\begin{aligned} &u_T(t,x) := Y_t^{T,t,x} \\ &w_T(t,x) := u_T(t,x) - \lambda(T-t) - v(x). \end{aligned}$$

We recall that $Y_s^{T,t,x} = u_T(s, X_s^{t,x})$ and that $Y_s^x = v(X_s^x)$.

Note that $(x \mapsto w_T(0,x))$ is continuous and bounded uniformly in T by (4.1). Therefore one can extend the definition of $w_T(0,x)$ to the whole $\mathbb{R}^d$ into a continuous and uniformly bounded in T function by setting $w_{T,\mathbb{R}^d}(0,x) := w_T(0,\Pi(x))$ where Π is the projection on $\overline{G}$.

Let us first state the following proposition whose proof is relegated to the next subsection.

Proposition 4.4.

$$w_T(0,x) = w_{T+S}(S,x), \forall x \in \overline{G}. \tag{4.3}$$

For every $T \geq t$, the process $(w_T(s, X_s^{t,x}))_{s\in[t,T]}$ satisfies the following BSDE in infinite horizon, $\forall t \leq s \leq T < +\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} w_T(s, X_s^{t,x}) &= w_T(T, X_T^{t,x}) + \int_s^T [f(X_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{T,t,x}) - f(X_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x})]\mathrm{d}r \\ &\qquad - \int_s^T (Z_r^{T,t,x} - Z_r^{t,x})\mathrm{d}W_r \\ &= h(X_T^{t,x}) - v(X_T^{t,x}) + \int_s^T [f(X_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{T,t,x}) - f(X_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x})]\mathrm{d}r \\ &\qquad - \int_s^T (Z_r^{T,t,x} - Z_r^{t,x})\mathrm{d}W_r. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Since we do not have a basic coupling estimate for the reflected process $X^{t,x}$, we will use an approximation procedure. We fix infinitely differentiable functions $\rho_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^+$ bounded together with their derivatives of all order, such that: $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\varepsilon(x)\mathrm{d}x = 1$ and

$$\operatorname{supp}(\rho_\varepsilon) \subset \left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\xi| \leq \varepsilon\right\}$$

where supp denotes the support. Then we define $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(F_n)_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_\varepsilon(y) F_n(x-y)\mathrm{d}y.$$

It is well known that $(F_n)_\varepsilon$ is $\mathscr{C}^\infty$. Furthermore, $(F_n)_\varepsilon$ is still 0-dissipative. Let $(X_s^{t,x,n,\varepsilon})_{s\geq t}$ be the solution of

$$X_s^{t,x,n,\varepsilon} = x + \int_t^s (b + (F_n)_\varepsilon)(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}r + \int_t^s \sigma \mathrm{d}W_r, \quad \forall s \geq t,$$

and $(Y_s^{2,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}, Z_s^{2,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon})_{s\geq t}$ be the solution of the following monotonic BSDE in infinite horizon, $\forall t \leq s \leq T < +\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_s^{2,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} &= Y_T^{2,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} + \int_s^T \left[f(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{2,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}) - \alpha Y_r^{2,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}\right]\mathrm{d}r \\ &\qquad - \int_s^T Z_r^{2,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}\mathrm{d}W_r. \end{aligned}$$

By the same argument as that of Theorem 4.4 in [18], there exist sequences $\varepsilon_m \underset{m\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$, $\beta(n) \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty$ and $\alpha_k \underset{k\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$ such that for all $T \geq t$,

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} \lim_{n\to+\infty} \lim_{m\to+\infty} \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left|Z_s^{2,t,x,\alpha_k,\beta(n),\varepsilon_m} - Z_s^2\right|^2 \mathrm{d}s = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

In what follows, we will use the following notation. If $q^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}$ denotes a function depending on the parameters α, n and ε, then

$$\lim_{\alpha,n,\varepsilon} q^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon} := \lim_{k\to+\infty} \lim_{n\to+\infty} \lim_{m\to+\infty} q^{\alpha_k,\beta(n),\varepsilon_m}.$$

Now, if we define, for all $s \geq t$,

$$\widetilde{Z}_s^{t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} := (\nabla v^1)_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_s^{t,x,n,\varepsilon})\sigma + Z_s^{2,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon},$$

by the dominated convergence theorem and thanks to (4.2) and (4.5), for all $T \geq t$

$$\lim_{\alpha,n,\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |\widetilde{Z}_s^{t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} - Z_s^{t,x}|^2 \mathrm{d}s = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Note that by Theorem 4.2 in [17], if we define $v^{2,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(x) := Y_0^{x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}$, then $v^{2,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}$ is $\mathscr{C}^1$ and $\forall s \geq t$,

$$Z_s^{2,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} = {}^t\nabla v^{2,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(X_s^{t,x,n,\varepsilon})\sigma.$$

Therefore, we have the following representation, $\forall s \geq t$,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{Z}_s^{t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} &= {}^t\nabla (v^1)_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_s^{t,x,n,\varepsilon})\sigma + {}^t\nabla v^{2,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(X_s^{t,x,n,\varepsilon})\sigma \\ &=: {}^t\nabla \widetilde{v}^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(X_s^{t,x,n,\varepsilon})\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Let us denote by $(\overline{Y}_s^{T,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}, \overline{Z}_s^{T,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon})_{s\geq t}$ the solution of the following BSDE in finite horizon, $\forall s \in [t,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{Y}_s^{T,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} &= w_{T,\mathbb{R}^d}(T, X_T^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}) - \int_s^T \overline{Z}_r^{T,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}W_r \\ &\quad + \int_s^T \left[f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, \overline{Z}_r^{T,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} + \widetilde{Z}_r^{t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}) - f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, \widetilde{Z}_r^{t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}) \right] \mathrm{d}r \\ &= (h-v)_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_T^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}) + \int_s^T \widetilde{f}^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(s, \overline{Z}_r^{T,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon})\mathrm{d}r - \int_s^T \overline{Z}_r^{T,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}W_r, \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where, for all $r \geq t$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}$,

$$\widetilde{f}^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(r,z) := f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, z + \widetilde{Z}_r^{t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}) - f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, \widetilde{Z}_r^{t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}).$$

We define, for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}$,

$$\widetilde{f}(r,z) := f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x}, z + Z_r^{t,x}) - f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}).$$

Now we can apply the stability theorem in [4] to show the following convergence result:

Proposition 4.5. *$\forall x \in \overline{G}$,*

$$\lim_{\alpha,n,\varepsilon} \overline{Y}_t^{T,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} = w_T(t,x). \tag{4.9}$$

The proof of this proposition will be given in the next subsection. We define

$$\overline{w}_T^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(t,x) = \overline{Y}_t^{T,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}.$$

Similarly to equation (4.3), we deduce that, $\forall T, S \geq 0$

$$\overline{w}_T^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(0,x) = \overline{w}_{T+S}^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(S,x). \tag{4.10}$$

Now we are in force to apply the method exposed in [13] for the quantity $\overline{w}_T^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(0,x)$ with slight modifications.

First we establish the following proposition whose proof is also relegated to the next subsection.

Proposition 4.6. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4.3,* $\exists C>0$, $\forall x,y\in\overline{G}$, $\forall T>0$, $\forall 0<T'\leq T$, $\exists C_{T'}>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\overline{w}_T^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(0,x)|\leq C,\\
&|\nabla_x\overline{w}_T^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(0,x)|\leq\frac{C_{T'}}{\sqrt{T'}},\\
&|\overline{w}_T^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(0,x)-\overline{w}_T^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(0,y)|\leq Ce^{-\hat{\eta}T}.
\end{aligned}$$

We stress the fact that C *depends only on* η, σ, $\overline{G}$. *The constant* $C_{T'}$ *depends only on the same constants and* T'.

Let us conclude the proof. From Proposition 4.6, we derive, by the same arguments as in [13] that there exists $L^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $\forall x\in\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|\overline{w}_T^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(0,x)-L^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}|\leq Ce^{-\hat{\eta}T}. \tag{4.11}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{cases}\overline{w}_T^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(0,x)\leq Ce^{-\hat{\eta}T}+L^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon},\\ L^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}\leq Ce^{-\hat{\eta}T}+\overline{w}_T^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(0,x),\end{cases}$$

which implies by (4.9) that

$$\begin{cases}w_T(0,x)\leq Ce^{-\hat{\eta}T}+\liminf_{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}L^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon},\\ \limsup_{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}L^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}\leq Ce^{-\hat{\eta}T}+w_T(0,x).\end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\limsup_{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}L^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}\leq 2Ce^{-\hat{\eta}T}+\liminf_{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}L^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}.$$

Letting $T\to+\infty$ implies that there exists $L\in\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lim_{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}L^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}=L.$$

Coming back to (4.11) and passing to the limit gives us the result:

$$|w_T(0,x)-L|\leq Ce^{-\hat{\eta}T}.$$

□

Remark 4.7. As in [13], in this paper we need to suppose that σ is a constant matrix in order to establish the a priori estimates in Lemma 4.6.

4.3 Proofs of the propositions

Proof of Proposition 4.4. We recall that for all $T,S\geq 0$, u_T is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases}\frac{\partial u_T(t,x)}{\partial t}+\mathscr{L}u_T(t,x)+f(x,\nabla u_T(t,x)\sigma)=0, & \forall(t,x)\in[0,T]\times G,\\ \frac{\partial u_T(t,x)}{\partial n}+g(x)=0, & \forall(t,x)\in[0,T]\times\partial G,\\ u_T(T,x)=h(x), & \forall x\in G,\end{cases}$$

and that u_{T+S} is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_{T+S}(t,x)}{\partial t} + \mathscr{L} u_{T+S}(t,x) + f(x, \nabla u_{T+S}(t,x)\sigma) = 0, & \forall (t,x) \in [0, T+S] \times G, \\ \frac{\partial u_{T+S}(t,x)}{\partial n} + g(x) = 0, & \forall (t,x) \in [0, T+S] \times \partial G, \\ u_{T+S}(T+S, x) = h(x), & \forall x \in G. \end{cases}$$

By uniqueness of viscosity solutions, it implies that $u_T(0,x) = u_{T+S}(S,x)$, for all $x \in \overline{G}$, and then,

$$w_T(0,x) = w_{T+S}(S,x), \forall x \in \overline{G}.$$

Proof of Proposition 4.5. It suffices to check that the Assumptions (A2) and (A3) in Lemma 2.3 of [4] are verified for the equations (4.8) and (4.4). Let us first give precisely (A2) and (A3).

Assumption (A2) of [4] is:

$$\forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times d}, |\widetilde{f}^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(s,z_1) - \widetilde{f}^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(s,z_2)| \leq C|z_1 - z_2|,$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T |\widetilde{f}^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(s,0)|^2 \mathrm{d}s\right] \leq C;$$

and Assumption (A3) is:

$$\lim_{\alpha,n,\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_s^T (\widetilde{f}^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(r, Z_r^{T,t,x} - Z_r^{t,x}) - \widetilde{f}(r, Z_r^{T,t,x} - Z_r^{t,x}))\mathrm{d}r\right)^2\right] = 0,$$

$$\lim_{\alpha,n,\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}\left[|(h-v)_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_T^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}) - (h-v)_{\mathbb{R}^d}(0, X_T^{t,x})|^2\right] = 0.$$

It is easy to show that (A2) is satisfied. It remains to show that (A3) is also satisfied. We have, $\forall s \in [t,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^T |\widetilde{f}^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(r, Z_r^{T,t,x} - Z_r^{t,x}) - \widetilde{f}(r, Z_r^{T,t,x} - Z_r^{t,x})|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^T |f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{T,t,x} - Z_r^{t,x} + \widetilde{Z}_r^{t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}) - f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, \widetilde{Z}_r^{t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon})\right. \\
&\qquad \left. - f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{T,t,x}) + f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x})|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right] \\
&\le C\mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^T |f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{T,t,x} - Z_r^{t,x} + \widetilde{Z}_r^{t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}) - f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{T,t,x})|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right] \\
&\quad + C\mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^T |f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{T,t,x}) - f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{T,t,x})|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right] \\
&\quad + C\mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^T |f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, \widetilde{Z}_r^{t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}) - f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{t,x})|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right] \\
&\quad + C\mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^T |f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{t,x}) - f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x})|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right] \\
&\le C\mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^T |\widetilde{Z}_r^{t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} - Z_r^{t,x}|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right] + C\mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^T |f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{T,t,x}) - f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{T,t,x})|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right] \\
&\quad + C\mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^T |f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{t,x}) - f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x})|^2 \mathrm{d}r\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Then (4.6) implies that the first term converges toward 0. Furthermore, since $\lim_{\varepsilon,n} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t\le s\le T} |X_s^{t,x,n,\varepsilon} - X_s^{t,x}|^2 = 0$, we have

$$|X_s^{t,x,n,\varepsilon} - X_s^{t,x}| \overset{\mathbb{P}\otimes \mathrm{d}t}{\longrightarrow} 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0, n \to +\infty,$$

and

$$|f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{T,t,x}) - f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{T,t,x})|^2 \le C(1 + |Z_r^{T,t,x}|^2)$$

which shows the uniform integrability of $|f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, Z_r^{T,t,x}) - f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{T,t,x})|^2$. Therefore, the second term converges toward 0. The same argument applied to the third term shows that this last term also converges toward 0.

Furthermore, by continuity and boundedness of $(h-v)_{\mathbb{R}^d}$, we deduce that:

$$\lim_{\alpha,n,\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}\left[|(h-v)_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_T^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}) - (h-v)_{\mathbb{R}^d}(0, X_T^{t,x})|^2\right] = 0.$$

Thus (A3) is satisfied. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3 of [4] applied to (4.8) and (4.4), we obtain:

$$\lim_{\alpha,n,\varepsilon} \overline{Y}_t^{T,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} = w_{T,\mathbb{R}^d}(t,x).$$

Thus, $\forall x \in \overline{G}$,

$$\lim_{\alpha,n,\varepsilon} \overline{Y}_t^{T,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} = w_T(t,x).$$

Proof of Proposition 4.6. The first estimate is a direct consequence of Girsanov's theorem. Indeed, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{Y}_0^{T,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} &= (h-v)_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_T^{x,n,\varepsilon}) - \int_0^T \overline{Z}_r^{T,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}W_r \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \left[f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{x,n,\varepsilon}, \overline{Z}_r^{T,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} + \widetilde{Z}_r^{x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}) - f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{x,n,\varepsilon}, \widetilde{Z}_r^{x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}) \right] \mathrm{d}r \\ &= w_T(0, X_T^{x,n,\varepsilon}) - \int_0^T \overline{Z}_r^{T,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(-\beta_r \mathrm{d}r + \mathrm{d}W_r),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\beta_r := \begin{cases} \frac{(f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{x,n,\varepsilon}, \overline{Z}_r^{T,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} + \widetilde{Z}_r^{x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}) - f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{x,n,\varepsilon}, \widetilde{Z}_r^{x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}))^t (\overline{Z}_r^{T,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon})}{|\overline{Z}_r^{T,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}|^2}, & \text{if } \overline{Z}_r^{T,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since β is a progressively measurable and bounded process, there exists a new probability equivalent to $\mathbb{P}$, $\mathbb{Q}^{T,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}$ under which $(W_s - \int_0^s \beta_r \mathrm{d}r)_{r\in[0,T]}$ is a Brownian motion. Therefore, thanks to estimate (4.1):

$$\begin{aligned}|\overline{Y}_0^{T,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}| &\leq \mathbb{E}^{Q^{T,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}} |w_T(0, X_T^{x,n,\varepsilon})| \\ &\leq C.\end{aligned}$$

Let us establish the second and third inequality of the proposition. First we notice that thanks to equation (4.3), $\forall 0 \leq T' < T$, $\forall s \in [t, T']$,

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{Y}_s^{T,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} &= \overline{w}_{T,\mathbb{R}^d}^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(T', X_{T'}^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}) - \int_s^{T'} \overline{Z}_r^{T,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}W_r \\ &\quad + \int_s^{T'} \left[f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, \overline{Z}_r^{T,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} + \widetilde{Z}_r^{t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}) - f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(X_r^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}, \widetilde{Z}_r^{t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}) \right] \mathrm{d}r \\ &= \overline{w}_{T-T',\mathbb{R}^d}^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(0, X_{T'}^{t,x,n,\varepsilon}) + \int_s^{T'} \widetilde{f}^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(s, \overline{Z}_r^{T,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}r - \int_s^{T'} \overline{Z}_r^{T,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}W_r.\end{aligned}$$

We recall that we have the following representation:

$$\widetilde{Z}_s^{t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} = \nabla \widetilde{v}(X_s^{t,x,n,\varepsilon})\sigma.$$

Furthermore, by Theorem 4.2 (or Theorem 4.2 in [9]), as $\overline{w}_T^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(t,x) := \overline{Y}_t^{T,t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon}$, $(x \mapsto \overline{u}_T^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(t,x))$ is continuously differentiable for all $t \in [0, T[$ and $\forall s \in [t, T[$,

$$\overline{Z}_s^{t,x,\alpha,n,\varepsilon} = \nabla \overline{w}_T^{\alpha,n,\varepsilon}(s, X_s^{t,x,n,\varepsilon})\sigma.$$

Therefore, we can apply the same method as exposed in [13] to obtain the second and third estimate.

5 Application to an ergodic control problem

In this section, we show how we can apply our results to an ergodic control problem. We assume that Hypotheses 3.2 and 3.3 hold. Let U be a separable metric space. We define a control a as an $(\mathscr{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$-predictable U-valued process. We will assume the following.

Hypothesis 5.1. The functions $R : U \to \overline{G}$, $L : \overline{G} \times U \to \mathbb{R}$ and $h_0 : \overline{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ are measurable and satisfy, for some $C > 0$,

1. $|R(a)| \leq C, \quad \forall a \in U$.
2. $L(\cdot, a)$ is continuous in x uniformly with respect to $a \in U$. Furthermore $|L(x, a)| \leq C, \quad \forall x \in \overline{G}, \forall a \in U$.
3. $h_0(\cdot)$ is continuous.
4. $g \in \mathscr{C}^1(\overline{G})$.

We denote by $(X_t^x)_{t \geq 0}$ the solution of (3.4). Given an arbitrary control a and $T > 0$, we introduce the Girsanov density

$$\rho_T^{x,a} = \exp\left(\int_0^T \sigma^{-1} R(a_s) \mathrm{d}W_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |\sigma^{-1} R(a_s)|^2 \mathrm{d}s\right)$$

and the probability $\mathbb{P}_T^a = \rho_T^a \mathbb{P}$ on $\mathscr{F}_T$. We introduce two costs. The first one is the cost in finite horizon:

$$J^T(x, a) := \mathbb{E}^{a,T}\left[\int_0^T L(X_s^x, a_s) \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^T g(X_s^x) \mathrm{d}K_s^x\right] + \mathbb{E}^{a,T} h_0(X_T^x),$$

where $\mathbb{E}^{a,T}$ denotes the expectation with respect to $\mathbb{P}_T^a$. The associated optimal control problem is to minimize the cost $J^T(x, a)$ over all controls $a^T : \Omega \times [0, T] \to U$, progressively measurable. The second one is called the ergodic cost and is the time averaged finite horizon cost:

$$J(x, a) := \limsup_{T \to +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}^{a,T}\left[\int_0^T L(X_s^x, a_s) \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^T g(X_s^x) \mathrm{d}K_s^x\right].$$

The associated optimal control problem is to minimize the cost $J(x, a)$ over all controls $a : \Omega \times [0, +\infty[\to +\infty$, progressively measurable.

We notice that $W_t^a = W_t - \int_0^t \sigma^{-1} R(a_s) \mathrm{d}s$ is a Brownian motion on $[0, T]$ under $\mathbb{P}_T^a$ and that

$$\mathrm{d}X_t^x = (b(X_t^x) + R(a_t)) \mathrm{d}t + \sigma \mathrm{d}W_t^a + \nabla\phi(X_t^x) dK_t^x, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

and this justifies our formulation of the control problem.

We want to show how our results can be applied to such an optimization problem to get an asymptotic expansion of the finite horizon cost involving the ergodic cost.

To apply our results, we first define the Hamiltonian in the usual way,

$$f_0(x, z) = \inf_{a \in U} \left\{L(x, a) + z\sigma^1 R(a)\right\}, \tag{5.1}$$

and we note that , if for all x, z the infimum is attained in (5.1), then by the Filippov theorem (see [20]), there exists a measurable function $\gamma : \overline{G} \times \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ such that

$$f_0(x, z) = L(x, \gamma(x, z)) + z\sigma^{-1} R(\gamma(x, z)).$$

Lemma 5.1. *Under the above assumptions, the Hamiltonian f_0 satisfies assumptions on f in Hypotheses 3.4, 3.5, 3.7, or 4.1.*

Proof. See Lemma 5.2 in [9]. ☐

We recall the following results about the finite horizon cost:

Lemma 5.2. *Assume that Hypotheses 3.1, 3.3, 3.6 and 5.1 hold true. Then for arbitrary control* $a^T : \Omega \times [0,T] \to U$,

$$J^T(x, a^T) \geq u(T, x),$$

where $u(t,x)$ *is the viscosity solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} = \mathscr{L}u(t,x) + f_0(x, \nabla u(t,x)G), & \forall (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times G, \\ \frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial n} + g(x) = 0, & \forall (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial G, \\ u(0,x) = h_0(x), & \forall x \in G, \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, if $\forall x$, z *the infimum is attained in* (5.1) *then we have the equality:*

$$J^T(x, \overline{a}^T) = u(T, x),$$

where $\overline{a}_t^T = \gamma(X_t^x, \nabla u(t, X_t^x)\sigma)$.

Proof. The proof of this result is similar to the proof of Theorem 7.1 in [8], so we omit it. ☐

Similarly, for the ergodic cost we have the following result.

Lemma 5.3. *Assume that Hypotheses 3.2, 3.3, 3.6 and 5.1 hold true, then for arbitrary control* $a : \Omega \times [0, +\infty[\to U$,

$$J(x, a) \geq \lambda,$$

where (v, λ) *is the viscosity solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \mathscr{L}v + f_0(x, \nabla v(x)\sigma) - \lambda = 0, & \forall x \in G, \\ \frac{\partial v(t,x)}{\partial n} + g(x) = 0, & \forall x \in \partial G. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, if $\forall x$, z *the infimum is attained in* (5.1) *then we have the equality:*

$$J^T(x, \overline{a}) = \lambda,$$

where $\overline{a}_t = \gamma(X_t^x, \nabla v(X_t^x)\sigma)$.

Finally, we apply our result to obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5.4. *Assume that Hypotheses 3.2, 3.3, 3.6 and 5.1 hold true. Then, for any control* $a : \Omega \times [0,T] \to U$, *we have*

$$\liminf_{T \to +\infty} \frac{J^T(x, a^T)}{T} \geq \lambda.$$

Furthermore, if $\forall x$, z *the infimum is attained in* (5.1) *then*

$$|J^T(x, \overline{a}^T) - J(x, \overline{a})T - v(x) + L| \leq Ce^{-\hat{\eta}T}.$$

Proof. The proof is a straightforward consequence of the two previous lemmas above and of Theorem 4.3. ☐

Acknowledgement The authors thank the two referees and the editor for their careful reading and helpful suggestions which lead to a much improved version of this paper.

References

[1] G. Barles. Fully nonlinear Neumann type boundary conditions for second-order elliptic and parabolic equations. *J. Differential Equations*, vol. 106, no. 1, pp. 90-106, 1993.

[2] G. Barles and F. Da Lio. On the boundary ergodic problem for fully nonlinear equations in bounded domains with general nonlinear Neumann boundary conditions. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 521-541, 2005.

[3] S. Benachour and S. Dabuleanu. Large time behavior for a viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equation with Neumann boundary condition. *J. Differential Equations*, vol. 216, no. 1, pp. 223-258, 2005.

[4] P. Briand and Y. Hu. Stability of BSDEs with random terminal time and homogenization of semilinear elliptic PDEs. *J. Funct. Anal.*, vol. 155, no. 2, pp. 455-494, 1998.

[5] A. Cosso, M. Fuhrman and H. Pham. Long time asymptotics for fully nonlinear Bellman equations: a Backward SDE approach. arXiv:1410.1125.

[6] F. Da Lio. Large time behavior of solutions to parabolic equations with Neumann boundary conditions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 339, no. 1, pp. 384-398, 2008.

[7] A. Debussche, Y. Hu and G. Tessitore. Ergodic BSDEs under weak dissipative assumptions. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, vol. 121, no. 3, pp. 407-426, 2011.

[8] M. Fuhrman, Y. Hu and G. Tessitore. Ergodic BSDES and optimal ergodic control in Banach spaces. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 1542-1566, 2009.

[9] M. Fuhrman and G. Tessitore. The Bismut-Elworthy formula for backward SDEs and applications to nonlinear Kolmogorov equations and control in infinite dimensional spaces. *Stoch. Stoch. Rep.*, vol. 74, no. 1-2, pp. 429-464, 2002.

[10] Y. Fujita, H. Ishii and P. Loreti. Asymptotic solutions of viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations with Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 31, no. 4-6, pp. 827-848, 2006.

[11] Y. Fujita and P. Loreti. Long-time behavior of solutions to Hamilton-Jacobi equations with quadratic gradient term. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 771-791, 2009.

[12] R. Z. Has'minskiĭ. Stochastic stability of differential equations. *Monographs and Textbooks on Mechanics of Solids and Fluids: Mechanics and Analysis*, 1980.

[13] Y. Hu, P.-Y. Madec and A. Richou.A Probabilistic Approach to Large Time Behavior of Mild Solutions of HJB Equations in Infinite Dimension. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 378-398, 2015.

[14] N. Ichihara and S.-J. Sheu. Large time behavior of solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations with quadratic nonlinearity in gradients. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 279-306, 2013.

[15] H. Ishii. Asymptotic solutions for large time of Hamilton-Jacobi equations in Euclidean n space. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 231-266, 2008.

[16] H. Ishii. Long-time asymptotic solutions of convex Hamilton-Jacobi equations with Neumann type boundary conditions. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 42, no. 1-2, pp. 189-209, 2011.

[17] J. Ma and J. Zhang. Representation theorems for backward stochastic differential equations. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1390-1418, 2002.

[18] P.-Y. Madec.Ergodic BSDEs and related PDEs with Neumann boundary conditions under weak dissipative assumptions. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, vol. 125, no. 5, pp. 1821-1860, 2015.

[19] X. Mao.Stochastic differential equations and applications. *Horwood Publishing Limited, Chichester*, 2008.

[20] E. J. McShane and Jr. R. B. Warfield. On Filippov's implicit functions lemma. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 18, pp. 41-47, 1967.

[21] G. Namah and J.-M. Roquejoffre. Convergence to periodic fronts in a class of semilinear parabolic equations. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 521-536, 1997.

[22] É. Pardoux and S. Zhang. Generalized BSDEs and nonlinear Neumann boundary value problems. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, vol. 110, no. 4, pp. 535-558, 1998.

[23] A. Richou. Ergodic BSDEs and related PDEs with Neumann boundary conditions. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, vol. 119, no. 9, pp. 2945-2969, 2009.